

Pumping Lemma (Regular Languages)

So far

We have studied **regular languages**,
and the equivalent **regular expressions, NFA, DFA**

Are there any languages that are **not regular**?
Or is there a limitation of finite automata?

Consider $L = \{\alpha^n \beta^n \mid n = 0, 1, \dots\}$

Is it possible to design a finite automaton to recognize L ?

Need a **math proof**. Our intuition can be misleading:

$L = \{(\alpha\beta)^n \mid n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is regular

Aside: logic

Propositional logic:

negation \neg , conjunction \wedge , disjunction \vee

De Morgan's Law

truth table:

		"not"		"and"		"or"	
A	B	$\neg A$	$\neg B$	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$(\neg A) \vee (\neg B)$	
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	
1	0	0	1	0	1	1	
0	1	1	0	0	1	1	
1	1	0	0	<u>1</u>	1	<u>0</u>	

$$\boxed{\neg(A \wedge B) = (\neg A) \vee (\neg B)}$$
$$\boxed{(A \wedge B) = \neg[(\neg A) \vee (\neg B)]}$$

$$\boxed{\neg(A \vee B) = (\neg A) \wedge (\neg B)}$$

Aside: logic

Propositional logic:

implication \Rightarrow

truth table:

A	B	$A \Rightarrow B$	$\neg A$	$(\neg A) \vee B$
0	0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	0	1

"if A, then B"

$\underline{\underline{\quad}}$ $\underline{\underline{\quad}}$

equivalence \Leftrightarrow

$$(\Rightarrow) \wedge (\Leftarrow)$$

Aside: logic

First-order logic:

universal quantifier \forall , existential quantifier \exists

e.g. $(\exists N > 0)(\forall n \geq 1)|a_n| \leq N$

1) Each variable n, N must be quantified by \forall or \exists

2) Order of \forall, \exists is crucial

$$(\forall n \geq 1)(\exists N > 0)|a_n| \leq N$$

3) Negation of a first-order logical statement

$$\neg(\exists N > 0)(\forall n \geq 1)|a_n| \leq N$$

$(\forall N > 0)(\exists n \geq 1) \neg(|a_n| \leq N)$

Pumping lemma

If L is a regular language, then there is a number

$$p \text{ (pumping length) s.t. } (\forall s \in L) |s| \geq p \Rightarrow (s = xyz) \wedge \\ ((\forall i \geq 0) xy^i z \in L) \wedge \\ (|y| > 0) \wedge \\ (|xy| \leq p)$$

y^i : $y^0 = \epsilon$, $y^1 = y$, $y^2 = yy, \dots$ (this is why “pumping”)

$|y| > 0$: $y \neq \epsilon$

Pumping lemma is a *necessary* condition for regular languages

(Proof of the pumping lemma: Sipser’s book p.78)

Pumping lemma

Now we use pumping lemma to show

$L = \{\alpha^n \beta^n \mid n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is not a regular language

Assume on the contrary L is regular.

Then by pumping lemma, there is a pumping length p s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall s \in L) |s| \geq p \Rightarrow (s = xyz) \wedge \\ & ((\forall i \geq 0) xy^i z \in L) \wedge \quad \dots \text{condition 1)} \\ & (|y| > 0) \wedge \quad \dots \text{condition 2)} \\ & (|xy| \leq p) \quad \dots \text{condition 3)} \end{aligned}$$

Consider the string $s = \alpha^p \beta^p$.

Since $s \in L$ and $|s| > p$, s can be split into x, y, z satisfying the three conditions

We consider three cases to show this is impossible.

Pumping lemma

Case 1: y contains only α .

Then string $xyyz$ has more α than β ,
and $xy^2z \notin L$. This violates condition 1).

Case 2: y contains only β .

Then string $xyyz$ has more β than α ,
and $xy^2z \notin L$. This violates condition 1).

Case 3: y contains both α and β .

Then string $xyyz$ has β before α ,
and $xy^2z \notin L$. This violates condition 1).

Conclusion: $L = \{\alpha^n \beta^n \mid n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is not regular

Pumping lemma

Next we use pumping lemma to show

$L = \{s \mid \#\alpha(s) = \#\beta(s)\}$ is not a regular language

Assume on the contrary L is regular.

Then by pumping lemma, there is a pumping length p s.t.

$(\forall s \in L) \mid s \mid \geq p \Rightarrow (s = xyz) \wedge$

$((\forall i \geq 0) xy^i z \in L) \wedge \dots$ condition 1)

$(\mid y \mid > 0) \wedge \dots$ condition 2)

$(\mid xy \mid \leq p) \dots$ condition 3)

Consider the string $s = \alpha^p \beta^p$.

Since $s \in L$ and $\mid s \mid > p$, s can be split into x, y, z satisfying the three conditions

Pumping lemma

Due to $|xy| \leq p$ (condition 3)), y can contain only α .
Then string $xyyz$ has more α than β ,
and $xy^2z \notin L$. This violates condition 1).

Conclusion: $L = \{s \mid \#\alpha(s) = \#\beta(s)\}$ is not regular

Context-Free Languages

Context-free grammar

We introduce a more powerful way that can describe a more general class of languages

e.g. a *context-free grammar* (CFG) G :

$$\begin{array}{l} A \longrightarrow 0A1 \\ A \longrightarrow B \\ B \longrightarrow \epsilon \end{array} \quad (\text{or } A \longrightarrow 0A1 \mid B)$$

- 1) each line is a **substitution rule**
- 2) each substitution rule is **symbol \longrightarrow string**
- 3) each symbol on the left is a **variable**: A, B
- 4) each string on the right contains variables and **terminal symbols**: $0, 1, \epsilon$
- 5) the variable on the left of the 1st substitution rule is the **start variable**: A

Context-free grammar

e.g. a *context-free grammar* (CFG) G :

$$\begin{aligned} A &\longrightarrow 0A1 && (\text{or } A \longrightarrow 0A1 \mid B) \\ A &\longrightarrow B \\ B &\longrightarrow \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

A *derivation* is a sequence of substitutions:

$$\text{e.g. } A \longrightarrow 0A1 \longrightarrow 0B1 \longrightarrow 0\epsilon 1 = 01$$

$$\text{e.g. } A \longrightarrow 0A1 \longrightarrow 00A11 \longrightarrow 00B11 \longrightarrow 0011$$

Parse tree:

Context-free grammar

Defn. A **context-free grammar** is a 4-tuple $G = (\mathcal{V}, \Sigma, \mathcal{R}, S)$

\mathcal{V} : finite set of **variables** $(\mathcal{V} \cap \Sigma = \emptyset)$

Σ : finite set of **terminal symbols**

\mathcal{R} : finite set of **substitution rules** of the form

$V \longrightarrow s$ where $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and $s \in (\mathcal{V} \cup \Sigma)^*$

$S \in \mathcal{V}$: **start variable**

e.g. a *context-free grammar* (CFG) G :

$$\begin{aligned} A &\longrightarrow 0A1 \\ A &\longrightarrow B \\ B &\longrightarrow \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Context-free grammar

Let $G = (\mathcal{V}, \Sigma, \mathcal{R}, S)$ be a CFG.

Let $V \in \mathcal{V}$, $s, u, v \in (\mathcal{V} \cup \Sigma)^*$, and $(V \longrightarrow s) \in \mathcal{R}$

Then $uVv \longrightarrow usv$ is a *derivation*

If $s_0 \longrightarrow s_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow s_k$,

say s_0 derives s_k , written $s_0 \longrightarrow^* s_k$

e.g. a *context-free grammar* (CFG) G :

$$\begin{aligned} A &\longrightarrow 0A1 \\ A &\longrightarrow B \\ B &\longrightarrow \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Context-free grammar

Let $G = (\mathcal{V}, \Sigma, \mathcal{R}, S)$ be a CFG.

The language generated by G is $L(G) = \{s \in \Sigma^* \mid S \longrightarrow^* s\}$

e.g. a *context-free grammar* (CFG) G :

$$\begin{aligned} A &\longrightarrow 0A1 \\ A &\longrightarrow B \\ B &\longrightarrow \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$L(G) = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$$